

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/466,369	12/15/99	SINGH		8	ACBI.031.01U	
-				EXAMINER		
HM12/0501 ' BERTRAM I ROWLAND PH D				SISSON.B		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
RAE VENTER LAW GROUP P C P O BOX 60039 PALO ALTO CA 94306-0039				1655	H	
I Limm, Limite, a				DATE MAILED	: 05/01/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	- A	pplicant(s)					
	09/466,369	s	SINGH, SHARAT					
Office Action Summary			Art Unit					
Office Action Summary	Examiner							
	Bradley L. Sisson		655	ddress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sho	eet with the corr	espondence	,				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL A SHORTENED STA	Y IS SET TO EXPIR	RE 3 MONTH(S)	FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3.				/ filed				
after SIX (6) MON I HS from the maining date of this service consisted above is less than thirty (30) date	ays, a reply within the stat	utory minimum of the	TIFTY (30) days W	"				
be considered timely.	ory period will apply and w	ill expire SIX (6) M	ONTHS from the	maining dute or time				
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Status	, by statute, cause the ap	olication to become	ABANDONED	(35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2b) \ \	2h)⊠ This action is non-final.							
2a) I his action is FINAL. 23) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicati	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	drawn from considera	ation.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and	d/or election requires	nent.						
Application Papers								
g) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
to The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
A sknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:								
1. received.								
received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)								
3 Correceived in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PC) Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for c	domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. &	119(e).					
Attachment(s)	_	-	(0.75.445)	Danar Na(s)				
14) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-16) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 18)	Interview Sumr Notice of Inform Other:	nary (PTO-413) nal Patent Applic	Paper No(s) ation (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 are confusing with respect to the compound usage of variants of "moiety" and "moieties" when referring to what appears to be two distinct chemical entities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Still in view of Benson et al., Rothman et al., and Heller.

Still discloses the use of labeled solid supports, or beads or particles, to which are attached chemical moieties. The type of label attached thereto encodes the chemical composition

Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

bound to the support. As set forth at page 157, right column, second paragraph: "Thus, while a library member itself may not be analyzable, it is possible to associate certain unique chemical markers or *tags* with that library member to specify identity."

Still does not disclose the use of lanthanides as labels or the combined use of lanthanides with fluorescent dyes.

Benson et al., teaches the use of multiple color fluorophores in the context of an automated DNA sequencing method wherein each color is representative of a specific nucleotide base. The concept of a multiplex reaction is discussed and that the four-color label approach to sequencing is conducted in a single tube (see column 1).

Benson et al., do not disclose using lanthanides as labels or in combination with fluorophores.

Rothman et al., column 10, fourth and fifth paragraphs, discloses the use of fluorophores in a variety of assay formats, stating: "The main types of fluorophores currently used as labels in such assays¹ are fluorescein, rhodamine, umbelliferone, and lanthanide chelates and the derivatives of these compounds." At lines 46-49 the wavelengths the above-identified fluorescent compounds is provided.

Rothman et al., do not suggest the use of both fluorescent dyes with lanthanide dyes.

Heller, column 5, second paragraph, like Rothman et al., speak in terms of the equivalency fluorescent compounds, identifying a variety of fluorescent compounds as well as

¹ Rothman *et al.*, column 10, lines 25-27, identifies the assays as being "immunoassays and other analytical assays involving binding."

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

lanthanide dyes. Also suggested in said paragraph is the use of "two or more fluorophores per probe."

In view of the totality of the prior art of record, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used lanthanide dyes as a detectable label in multiplex assays as the prior art clearly teaches the use of multiple fluorophores in a multiplex assay and the equivalency of lanthanide dyes to other known fluorophores. Said ordinary artisan would have also been motivated to have optionally combined more than one dye per solid support and that the different dyes need not all be lanthanides but could reasonable contain any of the known fluorophores identified in the art, including fluorescent dyes. Said ordinary artisan would have also been motivated, on the basis of commercial expediency and convenience, to have developed kits comprised of such particles, dyes, as well as labeled particles.

For the above reasons and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, the invention of claims 1-18 is considered to have been reasonably suggested by the prior art of record.

•

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (703) 308-3978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from between the hours of 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7230.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

> **BRADLEY L. SISSON** PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 /650

S. L. Sinon